

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

Ward Assessments of Need: CQUIN target and Audit.

Learning Disabilities Nurses:

Kate McDermott

Jane Merrifield

Katie Hopgood

- It is well documented that people with learning disabilities have complex health needs.
- Communication difficulties combined with a lack of staff awareness of this patient group's specific needs makes them very vulnerable in an acute setting (Mencap, 2007)
- In 2008 UHBristol specialist nurses developed a Dependency and Risk assessment to prompt and help ward staff in assessment of a patients needs. This was piloted on several wards and received positive feedback.

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

- The aims of the assessment were;
- To identify areas of risk for patients early on in an admission and to act accordingly.
- To provide evidence for additional resources and reasonable adjustments to care.
- To empower wards to feel confident in assessing patients needs when no Liaison service is available.
- To flag any concerns about vulnerable adults or capacity.

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

- The document consists of questions about;
- Level of support needed for ADL's
- Communication
- Behaviours and anxieties
- Safeguarding
- Capacity
- Awareness of risk
- Additional sensory and health considerations
- Involvement of other services

- As well as assessment the document also contains recommendations and suggestions for adjustments to care.
- Additionally there is a form for documenting when adjustments to staffing are required to keep a patient safe, and why.

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

- It was decided to open the assessment up for use trust-wide and in April 2009 UHBristol agreed to a CQUIN target with NHS Bristol to ensure that at least;
“70% of Patients with a LD, admitted for greater than 48hours and known to the LD team will have a Risk assessment completed for them by the trust”

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

- Making the assessment a ‘target’ meant that it became a more important document to hospital staff.
- To support the CQUIN an audit was required to evidence this data collection.
- This audit also enabled us to see how ‘used’ the document was.

- The responsibility for this Audit was placed with the LD nurses.
- Audit Aim;
 - “Is the trust completing a Dependency assessment for $\geq 70\%$ of patients with a Learning Disability admitted for longer than 48h?”

Standards



- We weren't aware of any other example of this tool so did not have set targets for best practise to achieve.
- 70% was chosen as it seemed a realistic starting point, however our aim was for 100%
- Exceptions had to be those with LD that were not known to our team (as we could not audit them). Or if a diagnosis was unclear.
- Alert systems aided us in knowing when someone with LD had been admitted and not referred. Meaning this data was not lost and helped reduce bias.
- 48hours was chosen as this should ensure that our team would become aware of their admission by this time.
- We had to be realistic with what we could achieve with less than 1 x FT post, while still meeting our other objectives

Methodology



- The audit and CQUIN target were discussed at matron and sister's meetings and the responsibilities of the wards explained.
- Data was initially collected via a retrospective case-note audit, however this proved to be more time consuming than collecting the data as we went along (as all patient were known to the team anyway).
- A shared file was created whereby all LD nurses could enter the assessment date when viewed on the ward.

- We predicted that approximately 80 patients would be eligible for the assessment over the following year (based on previous inpatient referral data).
- Each referral was allocated a data number and information relating to eligibility for assessment was anomalously recorded on an excel datasheet (LD/not LD, length of admission etc....)
- When we were alerted to an admission we would document the date the assessment had been completed.
- If it had not been completed we would prompt the ward or joint work with them to complete it and then document the date on the data collection sheet.

University Hospitals Bristol



NHS Foundation Trust

- This data was fed back monthly to a data analyst who would report to NHS Bristol on the % achieved.
- Only English patients were included on the CQUIN reporting, but all nationalities were audited (therefore data results are not the same).

Results



- Of 143 patients known to the team over the period (April 2009 – March 2010) 74 should have had an assessment completed for them according to the inclusion criteria.
- Of these 74 patients, 60 received it (81%)
- This supports the reported 84.6% by the data analyst for the CQUIN target (slight difference is due to aforementioned exclusion criteria).

A decorative graphic at the top of the slide consists of two groups of three circles. The first group on the left has a solid light purple circle on the left, a white circle with a light purple outline in the middle, and a solid light purple circle on the right. The second group on the right has a solid light purple circle on the left, a white circle with a light purple outline in the middle, and a solid light purple circle on the right.

Conclusion

- In conclusion the audit demonstrated that the CQUIN target was being achieved, but most importantly that over 80% of patients with a LD were receiving this assessment of their specific needs.

Discussion



- Difficulties met have been the increase in referrals from wards through greater awareness and via a growing alert system
- Staffing was reduced over this period which made it difficult to focus on training wards to take on this document.
- Most assessments have had to be joint between the ward and LD specialist nurses -meaning they have been very time intensive.
- Only one ward would consistently initiate the assessment themselves. However other wards would with prompting.

Actions



- To address these difficulties training and support needs to be to bigger groups of ward staff, rather than 1:1 as usually occurs. A rolling programme is being organised to teach nurses how to use the Ax.
- Reiteration of the wards responsibility in completing the assessment to senior staff is needed. Attendance at Matron and Sisters meetings is being organised for this.
- Wards appreciate doing the assessment jointly (especially if we know the patient well), and this should continue as required/possible.



Timescales

- These actions should be completed over the period April 2010 – March 2011.
- Information relating to completion of assessment should continue to be gathered and re-audited for this period.
- We should aim to improve on 2009/2010.

The Next Year.....



- UHBristol has agreed a CQUIN target with NHS Bristol that;

“75% of individuals known to have a learning difficulty on admission to the hospital, with stays over 48 hours, to have a completed risk assessment and necessary adjustments to care are documented in ward care plan.”

A decorative graphic at the top of the slide consists of two groups of three circles. The left group has a solid light purple circle on the left, a white circle with a light purple outline in the middle, and a solid light purple circle on the right. The right group has a solid light purple circle on the left, a white circle with a light purple outline in the middle, and a solid light purple circle on the right.

References

- Mencap (2007) Death By Indifference.
Mencap:London